Francis J. Maloney III, OSB #953441

E-mail: fjm@mlrlegalteam.com Scott A. MacLaren, OSB #123799 E-mail: sam@mlrlegalteam.com

MALONEY LAUERSDORF REINER, PC 1111 E. Burnside Street, Ste. 300

Portland, OR 97214

Telephone: (503) 245-1518 Facsimile: (503) 245-1417

Attorneys for Defendant

Sentinel Insurance Company, Ltd.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

LIONESS HOLDINGS, LLC,

Civil No. 3:17-cv-01238-JE

Plaintiff,

v.

DEFENDANT'S *REVISED*PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

SENTINEL INSURANCE COMPANY, LTD., a Connecticut Insurance Company,

Defend	lant.
--------	-------

DEFENDANT'S REVISED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, being duly empaneled and sworn to try this case, answer the questions submitted by the Court as follows:

QUESTION NO. 1: Did Plaintiff Lioness Holdings, LLC prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Sentinel Insurance Company breached the contract of insurance by failing to pay for any covered loss?

MALONEY | LAUERSDORF | REINER REINER

DEFENDANT'S REVISED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Page 1

Yes	No
(INSTRUCTION: If you an	swered "No" to Question 1, your verdict is for
Defendant Sentinel. Please h	ave your Presiding Juror sign and date this Special
Verdict Form and advise th	e bailiff. If you answered "Yes" to Question 1,
answer Questions 2 through	5.)
QUESTION NO. 2:	Did Defendant Sentinel prove by a
preponderance of the evide	ence that the property subject to the loss was
entrusted to Ryan Reeves by	Lioness at the time of thefts and vandalism?
Yes	No
QUESTION NO. 3:	Did Defendant Sentinel prove by a
preponderance of the evider	nce that Plaintiff Lioness failed to cooperate with
Defendant's investigation of l	Plaintiff's claim?
Yes	No
QUESTION NO. 4:	Did Defendant Sentinel prove by a
preponderance of the ev	idence that Plaintiff Lioness made material
misrepresentations or cond	cealments regarding Plaintiff's claim and that
Defendant relied on these ma	aterial misrepresentations or concealments?
Yes	No
QUESTION NO. 5:	Did Defendant Sentinel prove by a
preponderance of the evide	nce that Plaintiff Lioness unreasonably failed to
comply with all relevant and	material terms of the insurance contract, prior to
filing the lawsuit?	
Yes	No
MALONEY LAUERSDORF REINER re	DEFENDANT'S REVISED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

MALONEY LAUERSDORF | REIN 1111 E. Burnside Street, Ste. 300 Portland, Oregon 97214 Telephone: 503.245.1518 Facsimile: 503.245.1417

(INSTRUCTION: If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, 3, 4 <u>OR</u> 5, your verdict is for Defendant Sentinel. Please have your Presiding Juror sign and date this Special Verdict Form and advise the bailiff. If you answered "no" to each of Questions 2 through 5, your verdict is for Plaintiff Lioness. Please answer Question 6.)

QUESTION 6: What damages, if any, did Plaintiff Lioness prove by a preponderance of the evidence were caused by the Breach of Contract? (Do not consider any deductibles you might see mentioned in the policy.)

West Hills	\$	
Hollywood	\$	
Clackamas	\$	
Bridgeport	\$	
Wilsonville	\$	
Peterkort	\$	
Kruse Meadows	\$	
Happy Valley	\$	
West Linn	\$	
Tanasbourne	\$	
TOTAL:	\$	
DATED this	_ day of July, 201	.8

Print Name:

Presiding Juror

DATED this 16th day of July, 2018

MALONEY LAUERSDORF REINER, PC

By /s/ Francis J. Maloney

Francis J. Maloney, OSB #953441 Scott A. MacLaren, OSB #123799 Telephone: 503.245.1518 Attorney for Defendant Sentinel Insurance Company, Ltd.